

On the Lefschetz Standard Conjecture

José J. Ramón Marí

Abstract

The subject of the present paper is Grothendieck's Lefschetz standard conjecture $B(X)$. Our main result is that, if X is a projective smooth variety of dimension n and the conjecture $B(\mathcal{Y})$ holds for the generic fibre $\mathcal{Y}$ (of dimension $n - 1$ over the field $k(t)$) of a suitable Lefschetz fibration of X , then the operator $\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1}$ is algebraic. If in addition p_X^{n+1} is algebraic, then $B(X)$ is settled. Along the way we establish the algebraicity of the Künneth projectors π_X^i for $i \neq n - 1, n, n + 1$ under the above hypotheses.

1 Introduction

All varieties involved are assumed to be smooth and projective, unless otherwise stated. The notations on correspondences that we adopt are those of Kleiman [18] 1.3, Jannsen [15], Scholl [23]. We fix a prime $\ell \neq \text{char } k$, and make the harmless assumption that our field k , of arbitrary characteristic, contains the ℓ^N -th roots of unity for all N ; then we go on with the 'heresy' [13] $\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \approx \mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over a field k ; we now fix a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, giving an immersion in $\mathbb{P}^N$, which we replace if necessary by a tensor power $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ to obtain condition **(A)** of Section 4. Let Y be a smooth hyperplane section; we write $\xi_X := [Y] \in H^2(X)(1)$. Let L_X (L when not misleading) be the Lefschetz operator $L_X x = [Y] \wedge x$, where $\wedge$ denotes the cup-product in $H^*(X)$. $A^*(X)$ will denote the graded ring of algebraic cycles modulo homological equivalence with coefficients over $\mathbb{Q}$, and $A^{n+*}(X \times X)$ will denote the ring of homological correspondences (with coefficients over $\mathbb{Q}$), $\circ$ being the product considered. Note that the degree of a correspondence $u \in CH^{\dim X + r}(X \times X')$ is r as usual [10], and the cohomological degree of u , *i.e.* the degree of u as an operator in cohomology $H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(Y)$ will be $2r$. Given a subspace V of $H^*(X)$, we denote by e_V the orthogonal projection onto V . Following Kleiman [18], we denote the trace (or orientation) map by $\langle \rangle : H^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and the Poincaré duality

pairing by $\langle, \rangle : H^i(X) \otimes H^{2n-i}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

The Hard Lefschetz Theorem [7] states that the maps

$$L^{n-i} : H^i(X) \rightarrow H^{2n-i}(X)$$

are isomorphisms (henceforth called *Lefschetz isomorphisms*). One can then define the primitive subspaces $P^i(X) = \text{Ker } L^{n-i+1} \cap H^i(X)$, and one has a Lefschetz

decomposition of $H^*(X)$: $H^i(X) = \oplus L^j P^{i-2j}(X)$. Let $x = \sum L^j x_{i-2j}$ be the Lefschetz decomposition of $x \in H^i(X)$. Denote $i_1 = \max\{i - n, 1\}$. We define the following operators of degree -2 :

$$\Lambda x = \sum_{j \geq i_1} L^{j-1} x_{i-2j},$$

$${}^c \Lambda x = \sum_{j \geq i_1} j(n - i + j + 1) L^{j-1} x_{i-2j}.$$

We denote the Künneth projectors $H^*(X) \rightarrow H^i(X) \hookrightarrow H^*(X)$ by $\pi_X^i = \pi^i$. We define the operator of degree 0

$$H = H_X = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (n - i) \pi_X^i.$$

The following operators are also essential: for $x = \sum L^j x_{i-2j} \in H^i(X)$, $p^k x = \delta_{i,k} x_k$, when $i \leq n$, and $p^k x = \delta_{i,k} x_{2n-k}$ for $k > n$; it is clear that p^i is a projector for $i \leq n$. Whenever we have polarised varieties X_i , we will consider the induced polarisation on $X_1 \times X_2$, and so $L_{X_1 \times X_2} = L_{X_1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_{X_2}$. We will do likewise when we have an inclusion; for instance, let $\iota : Y \subset X$ denote an inclusion of a smooth hyperplane section. Then $\xi_Y = \iota^* \xi_X$ and $L_X = \iota_* \iota^*$, $L_Y = \iota^* \iota_*$. We denote the space of vanishing cycles by $V(Y) = \text{Ker } \iota_* | H^{n-1}(Y) \subset H^{n-1}(Y)$, with Y as above.

We recall the following result:

Proposition 1.1 (Kleiman [18] 1.4.6, [1]) *The operators ${}^c \Lambda, L, H$ are an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple; in other words, the following identities hold:*

$$[{}^c \Lambda, L] = H, [H, L] = -2L, [H, {}^c \Lambda] = 2{}^c \Lambda.$$

The following conjecture was stated by Grothendieck, and is one of his *standard conjectures* [13] [18]:

B(X): The operator Λ is induced by an algebraic cycle; equivalently ([18] Prop. 2.3), all the operators in the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple $({}^c \Lambda, L, H)$ are algebraic.

The conjecture $B(X)$ is known for curves, surfaces, generalised flag varieties, abelian varieties and is stable under products and smooth hyperplane sections [18]. We will therefore assume that $n \geq 3$. For a discussion on this form of the conjecture –regarding the field of definition– see 7.3. Another standard conjecture of Grothendieck, weaker than $B(X)$, (Kleiman [18] 2.4, [13]) regards the algebraicity of the Künneth projectors (again, we refer to 7.3):

C(X): The Künneth projectors π^i are algebraic for all $i = 0, \dots, 2n$.

The main result of this paper states as follows.

Main Theorem. Let X be smooth projective of dimension $n \geq 3$. Assume the conjecture $B(\mathcal{Y})$ for the general fibre $\mathcal{Y}$ of a Lefschetz pencil of X satisfying condition **(A)** (see Section 4). Then the operator $\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1}$ is algebraic.

The following result on $C(X)$ is proven in Proposition 7.1, although it may be viewed as a corollary of the Main Theorem (see subsection 7.2):

Partial result on $C(X)$. Assume $B(\mathcal{Y})$ for $\mathcal{Y}$ as above. Then the Künneth projectors π_X^i are algebraic for all $i \neq n-1, n, n+1$.

The departure point of our proof is essentially the algebraic cycle $\Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$ on the generic fibre $\mathcal{Y}/k(t)$ of a Lefschetz fibration of X satisfying condition **(A)** of Section 4 (Katz [5] XVIII.5.3), $\rho : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. In our proof, we pay special attention to the correspondences supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$ (see 4.1), which turn out to preserve the Leray filtration of ρ , as will be seen in Proposition 4.10. Whenever we have an algebraic class u in $A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$, a **lifting** (or **extension**) of u will denote a class supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$ which yields u after restriction to the generic fibre of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Our proof requires that the assumptions be over $k(t)$.

The consequences of establishing the full conjecture $B(X)$ for general X would be remarkable. Not only would this yield a satisfactory category of pure motives in characteristic zero, but as shown by Y. André [1] it would imply the Variational Hodge Conjecture, hence the Hodge conjecture for arbitrary products of the form $A \times X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$, where A is an abelian variety and X_i are K3 surfaces.

Acknowledgements. My enormous debt to the work of A. Grothendieck is apparent. This paper would never have been written were it not for the unwavering support and reassurance I received from María M. Morales, my *madrina*. I am indebted to the following people for their continuous encouragement and support: Brendan Guilfoyle, Luke ‘Dr Socks’ Murray, Esteban Roig Davison, Virgolina Lopes Holme. The interest and support that Kevin Hutchinson and Gary McGuire (UCD) showed is gratefully acknowledged. Finally, I heartily thank David Simms for providing with full access to the TCD Mathematics Library.

2 General results

The results in this section need no more background than Kleiman [18]. For the sake of completeness, we include the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $u \in A^{n+r}(X \times X)$ be a correspondence of degree r on X . The following identity holds:*

$$[H, u] = -2r \cdot u.$$

Proof: One has $u\pi^i = \pi^{i+2r}u$, hence

$$uH = \sum (n-i)u\pi^i = \sum (n-i)\pi^{i+2r}u = Hu + 2r \cdot u.$$

Isolating yields $[H, u] = -2r \cdot u$ as desired. ■

Lemma 2.2 *Let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be a generically finite, surjective morphism of smooth projective varieties. Assume that $C(X')$ holds; then $C(X)$ holds.*

The lemma follows readily from the identity $\pi_X^i = \frac{1}{\deg(f)} f_* \pi_{X'}^i f^*$. ■

Lemma 2.3 *If $j_1 + 2i_1 + j_2 + 2i_2 = 2n$, then the pieces $L^{i_1}P^{j_1}(X)$ and $L^{i_2}P^{j_2}(X)$ are orthogonal for $j_1 \neq j_2$. Let $0 \leq i \leq n$; then the operator p^i is a projector, and p^{2n-i} is a symmetric operator characterised by $p^{2n-i} : L^{n-i}P^i(X) \rightarrow P^i(X)$ is given by the inverse of the Lefschetz isomorphism L^{n-i} , and $p^{2n-i}L^jP^k(X) = 0$ if $(j, k) \neq (n-i, i)$. The following identities hold:*

$$p^{2n-i}L^{n-i} = p^i, \quad L^{n-i}p^{2n-i} = {}^t p^i.$$

Proof: The first assertion implies the rest of the lemma. Suppose $j_2 > j_1$; then

$$L^{i_2}P^{j_2}(X) \wedge L^{i_1}P^{j_1}(X) = L^{i_1+i_2}P^{j_1}(X) \wedge P^{j_2}(X) = 0;$$

indeed, consider a smooth linear section $\kappa : W \hookrightarrow X$ of codimension $(i_1 + i_2)$. Then $\kappa_*\kappa^*(P^{j_1}(X) \wedge P^{j_2}(X)) = 0$, since $j_2 > \frac{i_1+i_2}{2} = \dim W$, hence $\kappa^*P^{j_2}(X) = 0$ by [18] 1.4.7, [5] Exp. XVIII (5.2.4). This proves the assertion. ■

Lemma 2.4 *The operators L, Λ and ${}^c\Lambda$ are symmetric.*

Proof: The first two are well-known [18]. We prove ${}^c\Lambda = {}^t({}^c\Lambda)$. By Lemma 2.3 one need only check the following. Let $x = L^j x_{i-2j} \in L^j P^{i-2j}(X)$, $y = L^{n-i+j+1} y_{i-2j} \in L^{n-i+j+1} P^{i-2j}(X)$; the following equality holds:

$$\langle {}^c\Lambda L^j x_{i-2j}, L^{n-i+j+1} y_{i-2j} \rangle = \langle L^j x_{i-2j}, {}^c\Lambda L^{n-i+j+1} y_{i-2j} \rangle.$$

Indeed, let $c = \langle L^{n-i} x_{i-2j}, y_{i-2j} \rangle$. Then l.h.s. = $j(n-i+j+1)c$ and r.h.s. = $(n-i+j+1)jc$, since $j = n - (2n-i+2) + (n-i+j+1) + 1$. The Lemma is thus established. ■

Proposition 2.5 1. *The following non-commutative rings of operators are equal:*

$$\mathbb{Q}\langle L, \Lambda \rangle = \mathbb{Q}\langle L, {}^c\Lambda \rangle = \mathbb{Q}\langle L, p^n, \dots, p^{2n} \rangle.$$

2. *$B(X)$ holds if and only if, for all $i < n$, the inverse*

$$\theta^i : H^{2n-i}(X) \rightarrow H^i(X)$$

to the Lefschetz isomorphism $L^{n-i} : H^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2n-i}(X)$ is induced by an algebraic correspondence for $i < n$.

The first assertion follows from Kleiman [18] Prop. 1.4.4. The second is proved in *op. cit.*, 2.3. ■

The morphisms ι^*, ι_* are well-behaved with respect to the Lefschetz decompositions of X, Y (see Kleiman [18] Prop. 1.4.7). The following two lemmas relate the operators Λ_X, Λ_Y .

Lemma 2.6 *The following identity holds:*

$$(1) \quad \iota^* \Lambda_X = \Lambda_Y \iota^* + \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n-2} \iota^* L^{j-n-1} p_X^j.$$

Proof: Here we use [18] Prop. 1.4.7 constantly. The operators $\iota^* \Lambda_X$ and $\Lambda_Y \iota^*$ agree on $H^i(X)$ for $i \leq n$. It is easy to see that $\iota^* \Lambda_X - \Lambda_Y \iota^* = 0$ on $L^{i-n+1} H^{2n-i-2}(X)$. The equality $\iota^* \Lambda_X - \Lambda_Y \iota^* = \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n-2} \iota^* L^{j-n-1} p_X^j$ thus holds for every piece $L^r P^s(X)$ of $H^*(X)$, and the proof is now complete. ■

The following is but a rephrasing of Kleiman [18] Prop. 2.12.

Lemma 2.7 *Assuming $B(Y)$, $B(X)$ is equivalent to $\iota^* \Lambda_X$ being algebraic.*

Proof: We reproduce the proof in [18]. First note that ${}^t(\iota^* \Lambda_X) = \Lambda_X \iota_*$. Assume that $\iota^* \Lambda_X$ is algebraic; then $\Lambda_Y = \iota^* \Lambda_X {}^t(\iota^* \Lambda_X) = \iota^* \Lambda_X^2 \iota_*$ is algebraic and, for every $i < n$, the map

$$(2) \quad \theta^i : H^{2n-i}(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^* \Lambda_X} H^{2n-2-i}(Y) \xrightarrow{\Lambda_Y^{n-1-i}} H^i(Y) \xrightarrow{\Lambda_X \iota_*} H^i(X)$$

is an algebraic inverse to L^{n-i} . This establishes $B(X)$ by Proposition 2.5. ■

The following will be used in Section 5.

Lemma 2.8 *The conjecture $C(X)$ holds if and only if the semisimple operator H is algebraic.*

Proof: The result follows readily from the identities

$$[\Delta_X] = id_{H^*(X)} = \sum \pi^i \text{ and } H^r = \sum (n-i)^r \pi^i \text{ for } r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

■

3 The cohomology of Lefschetz pencils

For the basic results and the tone of this section we follow Katz [5] Exp. XVIII; we assume k to be algebraically closed. For X an n -dimensional variety and $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ a suitable embedding, there exists a line $L \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ cutting the dual variety $X^\vee$ of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ transversally; L is then called a **Lefschetz pencil**. A basic property of L is that, for every hyperplane $t \in L$, $X_t = X \cap H_t$ is either smooth or has a unique singular point which is an ordinary double point. The base locus of L in X will be denoted by Δ , and for any two $t_1 \neq t_2 \in L$ one has a transversal intersection $X_{t_1} \cap X_{t_2} = \Delta$. Thus Δ is smooth of dimension $n-2$: for any smooth member $Y = X_t$ as above, we will denote the canonical inclusion by $h : \Delta \hookrightarrow Y$. If $\tilde{X}$ denotes the blowing-up of X centred at Δ , projection induces a map $X - \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \cong L$ which induces a fibration (henceforth called a **Lefschetz fibration**, or **Lefschetz pencil** by *abus de langage*):

$$\rho : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

We denote by f the blowing-up map $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. The full blow-up diagram will be denoted as follows:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Delta} & \xrightarrow{i} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{j} & X, \end{array}$$

where j is the canonical inclusion $\Delta \subset X$. $\tilde{\Delta}$ is then the exceptional divisor and, Δ being a complete intersection, g is a trivial projective bundle; j denotes the inclusion $\tilde{\Delta} \subset \tilde{X}$. We describe the cohomology of $\tilde{X}$ in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 (Katz [5] Exp. XVIII Prop. 4.2) *Notations and assumptions being as above. Then:*

(i) *the following homomorphisms are mutual inverses:*

$$H^\bullet(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{f_* \oplus g_* i^*} H^\bullet(X) \oplus H^{\bullet-2}(\Delta)(-1)$$

and

$$H^\bullet(X) \oplus H^{\bullet-2}(\Delta)(-1) \xrightarrow{f^* + i_* g^*} H^\bullet(\tilde{X}).$$

(ii) *Transport of structure via the above isomorphisms endows $H^\bullet(X) \oplus H^{\bullet-2}(\Delta)(-1)$ with a structure of algebra, which expresses cup-product on $\tilde{X}$ as follows. For $a, b \in H^\bullet(X)$, $x, y \in H^{\bullet-2}(\Delta)(-1)$ one has:*

$$\begin{aligned} (0 \oplus x) \wedge (0 \oplus y) &= -j_*(xy) \oplus 2L_\Delta xy, \\ (a \oplus 0) \wedge (b \oplus 0) &= ab \oplus 0, \\ (a \oplus 0) \wedge (0 \oplus y) &= 0 \oplus j^*(a)y, \\ (0 \oplus x) \wedge (b \oplus 0) &= 0 \oplus xj^*(b). \end{aligned}$$

The Poincaré duality pairing is expressed as follows in terms of the above decomposition. If $x \oplus y \in H^i(\tilde{X})$, $x' \oplus y' \in H^{2n-i}(\tilde{X})$, then

$$\langle x \oplus y, x' \oplus y' \rangle_{\tilde{X}} = \langle x, x' \rangle_X - \langle y, y' \rangle_\Delta. \blacksquare$$

Let $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow X$ denote the canonical inclusion of a smooth hyperplane section Y in X . If $Y = X_t$ is a smooth fibre $\rho^{-1}(t)$ of ρ , let $k : Y \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ denote the canonical inclusion. The following result expresses the cohomology of k_* and k^* in terms of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2 (Katz [5] Exp. XVIII 5.1.1) *Notations and assumptions as above; the restriction homomorphism is expressed by*

$$k^* = \iota^* + h_* : H^\bullet(X) \oplus H^{\bullet-2}(\Delta)(-1) \rightarrow H^\bullet(Y)$$

and the Gysin homomorphism has the expression

$$k_* = \iota_* \oplus -h^* : H^{\bullet-2}(Y)(-1) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X) \oplus H^{\bullet-2}(\Delta)(-1).$$

■

Since we will deal with the Lefschetz theory of both X and $\tilde{X}$, the following discussion will help prove our Main Theorem.

Choice of $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}$: We know by Hartshorne [14] II.7.10, II.7.11 that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_N = f^* \mathcal{L}_X^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\tilde{\Delta})$ is very ample on $\tilde{X}$ for $N \geq N_0$. Consider $N = m+1$ such that $m \geq N_0$, and choose $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} := \mathcal{L}_{m+1}$.

Proposition 3.3 *Consider the polarisation on $\tilde{X}$ given by the divisor class $\xi_{\tilde{X}} = c_1(\mathcal{L}_{m+1}) = m \cdot f^* \xi_X + \rho^*([t])$ for $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ a regular value of ρ (not necessary). Let $L_{\tilde{X}}$ be the Lefschetz operator of this polarisation. One also has $f^* \xi_X = \xi_X \oplus 0$ and $f^*(\xi_X) \wedge (x \oplus y) = L_X x \oplus L_{\Delta} y$. In terms of the decomposition of Proposition 3.1, $L_{\tilde{X}}^r$ is expressed as follows:*

$$L_{\tilde{X}}^r(x \oplus 0) = m^{r-1}(m+r)L^r x \oplus -r \cdot m^{r-1}L_{\Delta}^{r-1}j^*x,$$

and

$$L_{\tilde{X}}(0 \oplus y) = r \cdot m^{r-1}L_X^{r-1}j_*y \oplus m^{r-1}(m-r)L_{\Delta}^r y.$$

Proof: Using Propositions 3.1, 3.2, we obtain the following:

$$\xi_{\tilde{X}} = f^* \xi_X = [Y] \oplus 0, \quad [\tilde{\Delta}] = 0 \oplus 1_{\Delta}, \quad [\rho^*(t)] = [Y] \oplus -1_{\Delta},$$

and $c_1(\mathcal{L}_0) = (m+1) \cdot [Y] \oplus -1_{\Delta}$. Using the easy fact $\rho^*(t)^2 = 0$, we obtain

$$\xi_{\tilde{X}}^r = m^r f^* \xi_X^r + r \cdot m^{r-1} f^*(\xi_X^{r-1}) \cdot \rho^*(t) = (m+r)m^{r-1} \xi_X^r \oplus -r \cdot m^{r-1} \xi_{\Delta}^r.$$

The Proposition now follows from Proposition 3.1(ii). ■

4 The Leray filtration of a Lefschetz pencil

Assume $k = \bar{k}$ as in the previous section. Choose a Lefschetz pencil on X , denoted by $\rho : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Condition **(A)** of Katz [5] Exp. XVIII, 5.3 will be important for our purposes; we include it below.

Condition (A): Let $\nu : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be contained within the smooth locus of ρ . The adjunction morphisms

$$R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \rightarrow \nu_* \nu^* R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$$

are isomorphisms for all $0 \leq i \leq 2n-2$ (independent of $\mathcal{U}$).

An immediate application of the weak Lefschetz theorem yields the first assertion of the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1 ([5] Exp. XVIII Lemma 5.4, Th. 6.3, Cor. 6.4; [7]) *If the Lefschetz pencil ρ satisfies condition (A), then the sheaves $R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ are constant for $i \neq n-1$. A suitable multiple of a given polarisation contains one such Lefschetz pencil.*

Theorem 4.2 ([5] 5.6, 5.6.8; [6] Sec. 2; [7]) *For a Lefschetz pencil $\rho : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, the Leray spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, R^j \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\tilde{X})$$

degenerates at E_2 . For $k : Y = X_t \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ the inclusion map of a smooth fibre, the Leray filtration of ρ can be interpreted as follows:

1. $F_\rho^1 H^*(\tilde{X}) = \text{Ker } k^*$, and $\text{Gr}_{F_\rho}^0 H^i(\tilde{X}) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$;
2. $F_\rho^2 H^*(\tilde{X}) = \text{Im } k_*$ image of the Gysin map k_* ; one has an isomorphism $F_\rho^2 H^i(\tilde{X}) = H^2(\mathbb{P}^1, R^{i-2} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong k_* H^{i-2}(Y)$.

The piece $F_\rho^2 H^*(\tilde{X})$ coincides with the image of the Gysin homomorphism

$$k_* : H^{*-2}(Y) \rightarrow H^*(\tilde{X}),$$

where Y is a smooth hyperplane section (as above); the piece F_ρ^1 coincides with the kernel of $k^* : H^*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H^*(Y)$. Furthermore, one has $F_\rho^2 H^i(\tilde{X})^\perp = F_\rho^1 H^{2n-i}(\tilde{X})$.

The whole Theorem holds in general. One can prove the last assertion under condition **(A)** via the inclusion $F_\rho^1 H^{2n-i}(\tilde{X}) \subset F_\rho^2 H^i(\tilde{X})^\perp$ and a dimension count. For the general case see [6] Sec. 2, [5], [11], [12] I.5; see also Looijenga [21] Sec. 5. ■

For the rest of this paper, we fix a Lefschetz pencil on X satisfying condition **(A)** above. We will denote by L the operator in $H^*(\tilde{X})$ given by $L\bullet = f^* \xi_X \wedge \bullet$ (see Proposition 3.3), which has the following expression in terms of 3.1:

$$(4) \quad L(x \oplus y) = L_X x \oplus L_\Delta y.$$

Remark Condition **(A)** induces a Lefschetz theory on the sheaves $R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. One has Lefschetz isomorphisms

$$L^{n-1-i} : R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \nu_* \nu^* R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \longrightarrow \nu_* \nu^* R^{2n-2-i} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq R^{2n-2-i} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell,$$

where $\nu : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is such that ρ is smooth on $\mathcal{U}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_\rho^i = \ker L^{n-i}$ the primitive cohomology sheaves, and occasionally denote $R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ by $\mathcal{R}^i$.

Corollary 4.3 1. The following isomorphisms hold:

$$L^{n-1-i} : R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow R^{2n-2-i} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

2. Let $\mathcal{P}_\rho^i = \text{Ker } L^{n-i} \subset R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Then $\mathcal{P}_\rho^i$ is constant of fibre $P^i(X)$ if $i \leq n-2$ and $\mathcal{P}_\rho^{n-1} = \mathcal{E}^{n-1} \oplus P^{n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, where $\mathcal{E}^{n-1} = \nu_* \nu^* \mathcal{E}^{n-1}$ for all $\nu : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ within the smooth locus of ρ ; moreover $\mathcal{E}_t^{n-1} = V(X_t)$ for all geometric points $\bar{t} \rightarrow t \in \mathcal{U}$.

3. Let $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 2$. The pairings

$$R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \times R^{2n-2-i} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow R^{2n-2} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

and

$$(5) \quad L^{n-1-i} \bullet \cup \bullet : \mathcal{P}_\rho^i \times \mathcal{P}_\rho^i \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

induce perfect pairings

$$H^\epsilon(R^i \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes H^{2-\epsilon}(R^{2n-2-i} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

and

$$(6) \quad H^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) \otimes H^{2-\epsilon}(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

which agree with the ones resulting from Theorem 4.2; for instance, the pairing given by $a \otimes b \mapsto \langle L^{n-1-i}a, b \rangle_{\tilde{X}}$ in $Gr_{F_\rho}^\bullet H^*(\tilde{X})$ equals the one in (5).

One has $\dim H^0(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) = \dim H^2(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

4. The Lefschetz isomorphisms on sheaves translate also into their cohomology groups; in particular,

$$H^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) = \ker (L^{n-i} : H^\epsilon(\mathcal{R}^i) \rightarrow H^\epsilon(\mathcal{R}^{2n-i})).$$

5. $\dim H^0(\mathcal{R}^i) = \dim H^2(\mathcal{R}^i) = b_i(X)$ for all i . As a result, $\dim H^0(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) = \dim H^2(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) = \dim P^i(X)$.

Proof: The result follows from Lemma 4.1, Theorem 4.2, Deligne [6] 2.8 and 2.12, and Katz [5] XVIII Lemmas 5.4, 5.5, 5.6.9 and proof of Th. 5.6.8.

Let us check the last assertion for $i = n-1$: the morphism $k_* = \iota_* \oplus -h^* : H^{n-1}(Y)$ has kernel $V(Y) = \ker \iota_*$. Therefore $\dim H^2(\mathcal{R}^{n-1}) = b_{n-1}(X) = b_{n-1}(Y) - \dim V(Y)$. The equality $\mathcal{R}^i = \mathcal{P}_\rho^i \oplus L\mathcal{R}^{i-2}$ yields $\dim H^0(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) = \dim P^i(X)$. (Alternatively, use [5] XVIII Th. 5.6.) ■

We now view the computations of Proposition 3.3 in a different fashion.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $x \oplus y \in H^i(\tilde{X})$, and let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\xi_{\tilde{X}} - m \cdot f^*(\xi_X) = \rho^*([t]) = k_*(1_{H^*(Y)}) \in F_\rho^2$. Thus the expression*

$$(7) \quad (L_{\tilde{X}}^r - m^r L^r)(x \oplus y) = L_{\tilde{X}}^r(x \oplus y) - m^r(L^r x \oplus L_\Delta^r y) = r \cdot m^{r-1} k_* L_Y^{r-1}(\iota^* x + h_* y)$$

belongs to F_ρ^2 .

Proof: By (4) we have $L^s(x \oplus y) = f^* \xi_X^s \wedge (x \oplus y) = L^s x \oplus L_\Delta^s y$. On the other hand, if $Y = X_t$ is a smooth geometric fibre, then $\rho^*([pt.]) = k_*(1_Y) = \xi_X \oplus -1_\Delta \in H^2(\tilde{X})$. We have $\rho^*(t) \wedge (x \oplus 0) = Lx \oplus -j^* x = k_*(\iota^* x)$ and $\rho^*(t) \wedge (0 \oplus y) = j_* y \oplus -L_\Delta y = k_*(h_* y)$, whence $\rho^*(t) \wedge (x \oplus y) = k_*(\iota^* x + h_* y) = Lx + j_* y \oplus -(j^* x + L_\Delta y)$. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} r \cdot m^{r-1} L^r x + L^{r-1} j_* y \oplus -L_\Delta^{r-1}(j^* x + L_\Delta y) &= L_{\tilde{X}}^r(x \oplus y) - m^r(L^r x \oplus L_\Delta^r y) = \\ &= r \cdot m^{r-1} k_* L_Y^{r-1}(\iota^* x + h_* y) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

Corollary 4.5 *Notations and assumptions being as above,*

$$L_{\tilde{X}}^{n-i}(P^i(X) \oplus 0) = L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0 = k_* L_Y^{n-i-1} \iota^* P^i(X) \subset F_\rho^2$$

and $P^i(\tilde{X}) \supset P^i(X) \oplus 0$. One has $L_{\tilde{X}}^r k_*(y) = m^r k_*(L_Y^r y) = m^r L^r k_* y$ for all $r \geq 0$.

Proof: By [18] 1.4.7, $h^*L_Y^{n-1-i}P^i(Y) = 0$ and

$$k_* : L_Y^{n-i-1}\iota^*P^i(X) \rightarrow L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0$$

is an isomorphism. Let us prove the inclusion $P^i(X) \oplus 0 \subset P^i(\tilde{X})$. By formula (7), it suffices to check that

$$(n-i)m^{n-i}k_*L_Y^{n-i-1}P^i(Y) \subset L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0,$$

but this inclusion is clear. We have seen that the image of $P^i(X) \oplus 0$ via the Lefschetz isomorphism is precisely $L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0$, thus establishing the result. ■

Remark By Lemma 4.4, the operator $L_{\tilde{X}} - m \cdot L$ vanishes on $Gr_F^*H^*(\tilde{X})$. The same thing happens on the sheaves $\mathcal{R}^i$.

Corollary 4.6 *The map L^{n-i} and the Lefschetz isomorphism $L_{\tilde{X}}^{n-i}$ yield isomorphisms*

$$(P^i(X) \oplus 0) \oplus k_*H^{i-2}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} k_*H^{2n-2-i}(Y).$$

The subspace $L_{\tilde{X}}^jP^i(X) \oplus 0$ is linearly disjoint with F_ρ^1 for $j < n-i$, and $L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0 \subset F_\rho^2$.

The first assertion follows from Corollary 4.5 and Corollary 4.3(5). The second assertion follows from the first. ■

Corollary 4.7 *The natural map $P^i(X) \oplus 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{R}^i)$ of Theorem 4.2 induces an isomorphism*

$$P^i(X) \oplus 0 \cong H^0(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. The map $\rho^(t) \wedge \bullet$ yields an isomorphism between $H^0(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i)$ and $H^2(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i)$. As a result, $H^2(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) = LP^i(X) \oplus P^{i-2}(\Delta) \cap F_\rho^2H^{i+2}(\tilde{X}) = k_*P^i(Y)$ for $i \leq n-1$.*

Proof:

1. The dimensions are equal, and $L^{n-i}(P^i(X) \oplus 0) \subset F_\rho^2$, hence the map

$$P^i(X) \oplus 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{R}^i)$$

induces an isomorphism onto $H^0(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i)$.

2. The class $[\rho^*(t)] \in \rho^*H^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$, hence $\rho^*(t) \wedge \bullet$ induces a map $H^0(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i)$, which reads as follows:

$$\rho^*(t) \wedge (x \oplus 0) = k_*k^*(x \oplus 0) = k_*\iota^*x.$$

Therefore its image is $k_*\iota^*P^i(X)$, whose dimension agrees with $\dim H^2(\mathcal{P}_\rho^i)$. The assertion is thus proven.

■

4.1 Absolute and relative correspondences

Let $p : M \rightarrow B$ be a smooth projective morphism onto a smooth algebraic variety B ; denote the dimension of M by n . In this section we will establish the usual properties of the composition law of correspondences on $M \times_B M$; if u is a codimension- $(r - \dim B)$ cycle on $M \times_B M$, the degree of u as a relative correspondence is defined to be r , i.e. the same as that of u as a correspondence of M ; for instance, this definition makes the cycle Δ_M into a relative correspondence of degree 0. See Fulton [10] Ch. 10, 16 for an introduction. The heart of this section is Lemma 4.8, where every identity holds modulo rational equivalence. If $u, v \in CH^{n-1+*}(M \times_B M)$, then the composition of u, v relative to B is defined to be

$$v \circ_B u := p_{13*}^B(p_{12}^{B*}(u) \bullet p_{23}^{B*}(v)),$$

where $p_{ij}^B : M \times_B M \times_B M \rightarrow M \times_B M$ are the canonical projections. $\circ_B$ endows $CH^{n-1+*}(M \times_B M)$ with a ring structure, and the usual properties hold. The upshot is Proposition 4.10.

Lemma 4.8 *Notations and assumptions as above: suppose that*

$$u, v \in CH^*(M \times_B M)$$

are relative correspondences of degrees r, s respectively. If $t \in B$ is a closed point, let $\lambda_t : M_t \times M_t \hookrightarrow M \times_B M$ be the canonical inclusion, and let $u_t = \lambda_t^(u)$. Then:*

1. *The correspondence $v \circ_B u$ is of degree $r + s$;*
2. *for any $t \in B$ one has: $(v \circ_B u)_t = v_t \circ u_t$.*
3. *one can compare the composition laws $\circ$ and $\circ_B$ as follows. Let*

$$j : M \times_B M \hookrightarrow M \times M$$

denote the natural inclusion; then $j_(v \circ_B u) = j_*v \circ j_*u$.*

Proof: The first and second assertions are clear. For the third assertion, we need some notation: Let p_{ab}^B denote the (a, b) -projections $M \times_B M \times_B M \rightarrow M \times_B M$ and p_{ab} denote the corresponding projections $M^3 \rightarrow M^2$. Let

$$inc : M \times_B M \times_B M \hookrightarrow M \times M \times M$$

be the natural inclusion. For each pair $a \neq b$ in $\{1, 2, 3\}$ we have a fibre product

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N_{ab} & \xrightarrow{j'_{ab}} & M^3 \\ p'_{ab} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{ab} \\ M \times_B M & \xrightarrow{j} & M^2. \end{array}$$

Denote by $k_{ab} : M \times_B M \times_B M \hookrightarrow N_{ab}$ the natural inclusion. Then $p'_{ab}k_{ab} = p_{ab}^B$. We wish to prove the identity

$$j_*p_{13*}^B(U \times_B M \bullet M \times_B V) = p_{13*}(U \times M \bullet M \times V);$$

by the above one has $j_* p_{13*}^B = p_{13*} inc_*$. Thus it suffices to prove the following:

$$inc_*(U \times_B M \bullet M \times_B V) = U \times M \bullet M \times V.$$

In other words, one must check, for $u, v \in CH^*(M \times_B M)$:

$$(9) \quad p_{12}^*(j_* u) \bullet p_{23}^*(j_* v) = inc_*(p_{12}^{B*}(u) \bullet p_{23}^{B*}(v)).$$

Consider now the following cartesian diagram of embeddings:

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \times_B M \times_B M & \xrightarrow{inc} & M^3 \\ (k_{12}, k_{23}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_{M^3} \\ N_{12} \times N_{23} & \xrightarrow{j'_{12} \times j'_{23}} & M^3 \times M^3. \end{array}$$

Note that

$$(11) \quad (k_{12}, k_{23}) = (k_{12} \times k_{23}) \Delta_{M \times_B M \times_B M}.$$

Formula (9) is equivalent to the following:

$$(12) \quad \Delta_{M^3}^*(p_{12} \times p_{23})^*(j_* \times j_*) = inc_* \Delta_{M \times_B M \times_B M}^*(p_{12}^B \times p_{23}^B)^*.$$

Let us develop the l.h.s. Using Fulton [10] Prop. 1.7, one has $p_{ab}^* j_* = j'_{ab*} p'_{ab}^*$ from the fibre product (8), hence

$$\text{l.h.s.} = \Delta_{M^3}^*(j'_{13} \times j'_{23})_*(p'_{12} \times p'_{23})^*.$$

Since all varieties involved are smooth and quasiprojective, (10) yields

$$(13) \quad \Delta_{M^3}^*(j'_{13} \times j'_{23})_* = inc_*(k_{12}, k_{23})^* :$$

Indeed, by the moving lemma [22] one can check the above for an algebraic cycle ζ in $N_{12} \times N_{23}$ that intersects properly with the image of $(k_{12} \times k_{23})$. The identity (13) is easily established once for such ζ , and so for each Chow class in $N_{12} \times N_{23}$. Using (11) and (13) yields

$$(14) \quad \text{l.h.s.} = inc_* \Delta_{M \times_B M \times_B M}^*(k_{12} \times k_{23})^*(p'_{12} \times p'_{23})^*,$$

which in turn equals

$$inc_* \Delta_{M \times_B M \times_B M}^*(p_{12}^B \times p_{23}^B)^* = \text{r.h.s.};$$

the Lemma is thus established. ■

Remark Lemma 4.8 generalises accordingly when source and target of the relative correspondences are different; so do Lemma 4.9, Proposition 4.10.

Lemma 4.9 *With the notations and assumptions of Lemma 4.8, we denote by $\iota = \iota_t : M_t \hookrightarrow M$ the canonical inclusion. The following identity holds:*

$$j_* u \circ \iota_* = \iota_* \circ u_t.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.9: Consider the following cartesian diagram of embeddings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_t \times M_t & \xrightarrow{1 \times \iota} & M_t \times M \\ \lambda_t \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \times 1 \\ M \times_B M & \xrightarrow{j} & M \times M. \end{array}$$

Analogously as shown in Lemma 4.8 with (10), the following formula holds:

$$(15) \quad (\iota \times 1)^* j_* = (1 \times \iota)_* \lambda_t^*.$$

Using Fulton [10] 16.1.1.(c) (see also Scholl [23] 1.10) we derive

$$j_*(u) \circ \iota_* = \iota_* \circ u_t.$$

The proof is now complete. ■

Proposition 4.10 *The correspondences supported on $D = \tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$ preserve the Leray filtration. More precisely, if $u \in CH_*(\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X})$ and $j' : \tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$, then $[j'_*(u)]F_\rho^i \subset F_\rho^i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. In addition, if u is supported on a finite set of fibres of the structure morphism $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, then $[j'_*u]|F_\rho^2 = 0, [j'_*u]|H^*(\tilde{X}) \subset F_\rho^1$.*

Proof of Proposition 4.10:

Choose a smooth fibre $Y = \tilde{X}_t$ of ρ . We will establish an identity identical to that of Lemma 4.9, proved with the due care since D is not smooth in general. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ denote the smooth locus of ρ and let $D_B := \rho^{-1}(B) \times_B \rho^{-1}(B)$ be the smooth locus of $D/\mathbb{P}^1$. Now λ_t factors as

$$X_t \times X_t \xrightarrow{\lambda'_t} D_B \xrightarrow{\nu'} D,$$

where ν' is an open immersion and D_B is smooth. We may now define $\lambda_t^* = \lambda'^{*}_t \nu'^*$. The identity $(\iota \times 1)^* j'_* z = (1 \times \iota)_* \lambda_t^* z$ holds for any algebraic cycle on D : if z is supported on $X_t \times X_t$, then both sides are 0 by Fulton [10] 10.1 (use *op.cit.* Cor. 6.3). If z has no component contained in $X_t \times X_t$, then z intersects properly with $X_t \times X_t$ and $j_* z$ intersects properly with $X_t \times \tilde{X}$; again, it is easy to check that both sides agree (as algebraic cycles, no equivalence relation established). Just as in Lemma 4.9, then for every correspondence $j'_* u$ on $\tilde{X}$ supported in D we have

$$(16) \quad j'_* u \circ \iota_* = \iota_* \circ u_t.$$

Now we check that any correspondence supported on $D = \tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$ sends F^2 into F^2 : indeed, (16) directly implies $j'_*(u)F_\rho^2 \subset F_\rho^2$. Likewise, $j'_*(u)$ sends F_ρ^1 into F_ρ^1 : suppose $x \in H^*(\tilde{X})$ is such that $\iota^* x = 0$. We have $\iota^* \circ j'_*(u) = u_t \iota^*$, hence $j'_*(u)F_\rho^1 \subset F_\rho^1$. In the case when u is supported on a finite union of subschemes $X_{s_i} \times X_{s_i}$, taking $t \neq s_1, \dots, s_r$ in the above argument yields $u_t = 0$, thus proving the second assertion. The proof is now complete. ■

4.2 More on supports

We now return to the setting of Theorem 4.2 and assume the notations of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.10.

Let u, v be algebraic cycles supported on D . If $p_{12}^*(u), p_{23}^*(v)$ intersect properly, then the correspondence $v \circ u$ is easily seen to be supported on D ; a similar argument works on Chow classes if an embedded desingularisation to $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}^2$ exists – in the proof of Lemma 4.8, formula (14) required smoothness of the integral scheme $M \times_B M$; In a similar vein to 4.1, one derives the following statement.

Proposition 4.11 *Notations and assumptions being as above, let $u = j'_* u_0, v = j'_* v_0$ be two correspondences supported on D . Let $j''_* : M \times_B M \subset M \times M$ denote the canonical inclusion.*

The following statements hold (modulo rational equivalence).

1. *Let r, r_0 denote the inclusions $r : M \times M \subset \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}, r_0 : M \times_B M \subset D$. Then $r^* v \circ r^* u = j''_*(r_0^* v_0 \circ_B r_0^* u_0)$.*
2. *Let $\phi : Z \twoheadrightarrow D \times \tilde{X}$ be a De Jong alteration [4]. Let z be such that $\phi_*(z) = p_{12}^*(u)$. Then $p_{12}^*(u)p_{13}^*(v)$ is supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$; as a result, $v \circ u$ is supported on D .*

Proof: The first statement follows from Lemma 4.8. Let us prove the second statement: for u, z, ϕ as above, one has $\phi_*(z \bullet \phi^*(p_{23}^*(v))) = \phi_*(z' \bullet \phi^*(p_{23}^*(v)))$, where $z' \sim_{\text{rat}} z$ is such that $z', \phi^*(p_{23}^*(v))$ intersect properly. It is now apparent that the Chow class

$$\phi_*(z' \bullet \phi^*(p_{23}^*(v))) = \phi_*(z') \bullet p_{23}^*(v) = p_{12}^*(u) \bullet p_{23}^*(v)$$

is supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$. Applying p_{13*} yields the Chow class $v \circ u$, which is therefore supported on D , thus completing the proof. ■

4.3 Action on the Leray spectral sequence

(Again we assume k algebraically closed.) Let u be a correspondence of degree r supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$. Then u induces a correspondence u_t of degree r on X_t for each $t \in B(\bar{k})$, where $\nu : B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the smooth locus of ρ as above. u thus defines a homomorphism of ℓ -adic sheaves for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$:

$$(17) \quad u : \nu_* \nu^* R^j \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell = R^j \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \nu_* \nu^* R^{j+2r} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell = R^{j+2r} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

(using (A)), which in turn yields $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -linear maps

$$(18) \quad H^i(R^j \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^i(R^{j+2r} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

These maps clearly agree with those induced on $Gr_{F_p}^*$ by $j_* u$ in Proposition 4.10, and so do the respective composition laws.

Remark 4.12 *Morphisms induced in (17) and (18) depend only on the class of u in $H^*(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$. Indeed, denote the generic point of $\mathbb{P}^1$ by η , and the image of u in*

$A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$ by u_η or $[u]_\mathcal{Y}$; suppose that $u'_\eta - u''_\eta | H^j(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$ for all j . Then for a sufficiently small neighbourhood $\nu_1 : \mathcal{U}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ of η one has $0 = u' - u'' | \nu_{1*} \nu_1^* R^j \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell = R^j \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for all j , hence $u' - u''$ induces 0 on $\mathrm{Gr}_{F_\rho}^* H^*(\tilde{X})$. Here we used [9] I.12.10, I.12.13 (see also [12]) and the base change theorems in etale cohomology [11]; [9] I.6, I.7.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset A^{n+*}(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})$ denote the subring (see Proposition 4.11) of homological correspondences supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the ideal of $A^{n+*}(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})$ consisting of the elements u such that $uF_\rho^i \subset F_\rho^{i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ (i.e. those inducing 0 on $\mathrm{Gr}_{F_\rho}^* H^*(\tilde{X})$). Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal (see Lemma 4.16) of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of the $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $u = [j'_* v]$ with v an algebraic cycle on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$ (with $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients) inducing an homologically trivial class on $H^*(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$. One has $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}$ by (17). We denote by $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{A}$ the subspace of all classes $w = [j'_* w_0] \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $[w_0]_\mathcal{Y} H^* \mathcal{Y} \subset V(\mathcal{Y})$.

The following Proposition sharpens Remark 4.12 above.

Proposition 4.13 *Then the ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}^3 = \mathcal{J}^3 = 0$. Let w_0 be an algebraic cycle supported on D , representing the correspondence $w \in A^{n+r}(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})$ of degree r . Suppose that $r \neq 0$; then $w_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $w \in \mathcal{K}$. In general, the following statements hold.*

- (i) $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{K}$. $\mathcal{K}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}$.
- (ii) Suppose that $H^1(R^{n-1} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$. Then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{K}$.
- (iii) Assume that n is even or $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$. If $H^1(R^{n-1} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J} \subsetneq \mathcal{K}$.
- (iv) If n is even or $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$, then there exists $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $d \geq d_0$, every Lefschetz fibration of degree- d hypersurfaces satisfies (iii).
- (v) If n is even or $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$, and $H^1(R^{n-1} \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \neq 0$, then any Chow class u supported on D such that $[j'_* u] = 0$ satisfies $[u]_\mathcal{Y} = 0$.

Proof: The nilpotence assertion for $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ is clear. Now, let w_0 be as above with $r \neq 0$; we argue as in Remark 4.12. If $\mathcal{R}^i$ is constant ($i \neq n-1$) then $[w_0]_\mathcal{Y} H^i(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$ if and only if $w_0 : \mathcal{R}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{i+2r}$ is 0. The same holds whenever $\mathcal{R}^{i+2r}$ is constant. Thus $w \in \mathcal{I} \Leftrightarrow w \in \mathcal{J}$ whenever $r \neq 0$.

Assume $r = 0$. Then $\mathcal{R}^{n-1} = L\mathcal{R}^{n-3} \oplus \mathcal{P}_\rho^{n-1}$, where $\mathcal{P}_\rho^{n-1} = P^{n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{n-1}$, and $V(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\eta}}^{n-1}$ is the geometric generic fibre of $\mathcal{E}^{n-1}$ (here $\bar{\eta}$ is a geometric generic point of $\mathbb{P}^1$.) Hence $w \in \mathcal{J} \Leftrightarrow w_0 \mathcal{R}^\bullet = w_0 \mathcal{E}^{n-1} \subset \mathcal{E}^{n-1}$. The $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -adic sheaf $w_0 \mathcal{E}^{n-1}$ has $[w_0]_\mathcal{Y} V(\mathcal{Y})$ as its geometric generic fibre; all the pieces of $H^*(\mathcal{Y})$ are monodromy invariant, except $V(\mathcal{Y})$ which has no invariants (by **(A)**). This settles (i), (ii).

To prove (iii), recall that if n is even or $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$, then all the singularities of ρ are non-degenerate quadratic singularities of fibres, and the monodromy representation of $\pi_1^{alg}(B, \bar{\eta})$ (B being the smooth locus of ρ) on $V(\mathcal{Y})$ is absolutely irreducible ([5], esp. XVIII Cor. 6.7). As a result, the $\pi_1(B)$ -submodule $[w_0]_\mathcal{Y} V(\mathcal{Y})$ is either 0 or $V(\mathcal{Y})$, and so there are two possibilities for the inclusion of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves

$w_0\mathcal{E}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{n-1}$: either the image or the cokernel of this inclusion are skyscraper sheaves. Since $H^1(\mathcal{R}^{n-1}) = H^1(\mathcal{E}^{n-1}) \neq 0$, we have

$$wH^1(\mathcal{R}^{n-1}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow [w_0]_{\mathcal{Y}}V(\mathcal{Y}) = 0,$$

thus settling (ii),(iii).

Let us prove (iv); by Lemma 6.2 (see [5] XVIII Th. 5.7), $H^1(\mathcal{R}^{n-1}) \simeq P^n(X) \oplus V(\Delta)$. If $P^n(X) \neq 0$ there is nothing to prove; if $P^n(X) = 0$ the assertion follows from the next elementary lemma.

Lemma 4.14 (compare [5] XVIII Lemme 6.4.2) *With the notations and hypotheses of this section (assuming $n \leq 3$), let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $Y(d), Y'(d)$ denote degree- d hypersurface sections intersecting transversally, and let $\Delta(d) = Y(d) \cap Y'(d)$. Then $b_{n-2}(\Delta(d))$ is a polynomial of degree n in d .*

Proof of Lemma 4.14: Let $c(X), c(\Delta)$ be the total Chern classes of X, Δ and let $j : \Delta \subset X$ denote the canonical inclusion. Let $\int_X$ denote the trace map on X , and $H = c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$ with the present polarisation. Then, using $j_*j^*\alpha = d^2H^2 \bullet \alpha$, we obtain:

$$\chi(\Delta(d)) = \int_{\Delta(d)} c(\Delta(d)) = \int_{\Delta(d)} j^* \frac{c(X)}{(1+d \cdot H)^2} = \int_X \frac{d^2 H^2 c(X)}{(1+d \cdot H)^2},$$

which is a polynomial in d of degree n with lead term $(-1)^n \deg X \cdot d^n$. Isolating yields $b_{n-2}(\Delta(d)) = (-1)^{n-2} \chi(\Delta(d)) + 2 \sum_{i \geq 1} (-1)^i b_{n-2-i}(X)$, thus completing the proof. ■

Taking $d \gg 0$, the d -uple embedding of $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ satisfies the hypotheses of (iii), thus establishing (iv).

It remains to prove (v). By Corollary 4.3, $H^1(\mathcal{E}^{n-1}) = H^1(\mathcal{R}^{n-1})$.

If $[j'_*(u)] = 0 \in \mathcal{J}$, then u induces 0 on $H^\epsilon(\mathcal{R}^i)$ for all ϵ, i and from (iii) we derive $[u]_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$.

Proposition 4.13 is thus established. ■

Corollary 4.15 *If n is even or $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and $H^1(\mathcal{R}^{n-1}) \neq 0$, then the restriction map*

$$CH_{n-1-*}(D) \twoheadrightarrow A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$$

factors through a ring homomorphism

$$\text{res}_{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$$

whose kernel is $\text{res}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{I}$.

Proof: The Corollary follows from Proposition 4.13(v). ■

The next Lemma is only necessary if n is odd and $\text{char } k = 2$.

Lemma 4.16 *Notations and assumptions as above. The subspace $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is an ideal.*

Proof: Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be the smooth locus of ρ , and $M = \rho^{-1}(B)$. We define the map $[j'_*] : CH_{n-1-\bullet}(D) \rightarrow A^{n+\bullet}(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})$ (here $[\bullet]$ is the cycle map, and $D = \tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$) whose image is precisely $\mathcal{A}$. If r, r_0 are as in Proposition 4.11 and $j'' : M \times_B M \hookrightarrow M \times M$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH_{n-1-*}(D) & \xrightarrow{[j'_*]} & A^{n+*}(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}) \\ [r_0^*] \downarrow & & \downarrow r^* \\ A^{n-1+*}(M \times_B M) & \xrightarrow{j''_*} & A^{n+*}(M \times M). \end{array}$$

We have $r^*\mathcal{A} = j''_* A^{n-1+*}(M \times_B M)$, and r^*, j''_* are ring homomorphisms by Proposition 4.11. It is clear that $r^*\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $r^*\mathcal{A}$, which coincides with the image of $\text{Ker}(A^{n-1+*}(M \times_B M) \twoheadrightarrow A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}))$ via j''_* . Now the kernel of $\mathcal{I} \twoheadrightarrow r^*\mathcal{I}$ consists of the correspondences supported on $\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ singular}} X_s \times X_s$. It is now clear that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is an ideal. ■

Remark 4.17 *By Proposition 4.13 above, there is a ring epimorphism*

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{Y}} : A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I},$$

which is an isomorphism if n is even or $\text{char } k \neq 2$ by Corollary 4.15. It is not clear whether $\varphi_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is an isomorphism if n is odd and $\text{char } k = 2$.

The next Corollary circumvents the possible non-isomorphy of φ for the purposes of this paper. Its proof is straightforward.

Corollary 4.18 *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$ be the ideal of correspondences u such that $uH^*(\mathcal{Y}) \subset V(\mathcal{Y})$. One has a ring isomorphism induced by φ above:*

$$A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})/\mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}.$$

Consider a graded unital subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset A^{n-1+}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$ such that $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathfrak{a} = 0$. Then φ yields an isomorphism $\mathcal{B} \simeq \varphi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$, which maps isomorphically after composing with the quotient map $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}$.*

■

5 The relative projectors

We have seen in Lemma 2.1 that, if $C(X)$ holds, then the ring of correspondences of X , $A^{\dim X + \bullet}(X \times X)$ decomposes through the adjoint action of H_X , $u \mapsto [H, u]$; the degree-0 correspondences are exactly those commuting with H_X , or equivalently, with the Künneth projectors π_X^i for all i . We wish to translate this situation into the relative context presented in Section 4. Our first goal is to create natural relative analogues π_ρ^i, H_ρ of π^i and of H , supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$. We will thereby create a splitting of the Leray filtration, and if n is even or $\text{char } k \neq 2$ a section of the ring epimorphism $\text{res}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Lemma 5.1 Assume $C(\mathcal{Y})$. Let $\pi^i \in A^n(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})$ be liftings of $\pi_{\mathcal{Y}}^i$. Then π^i are such that

$$\pi^i | Gr_F^\epsilon H^j(\tilde{X}) = \delta_{i,j-\epsilon}$$

for all $0 \leq i, j \leq 2n-2$ and $\epsilon = 0, 1, 2$. The restriction of π^j to $F^2 H^*(\tilde{X})$ is a projector which yields 0 on $F^2 H^j(\tilde{X})$ if $j \neq i+2$ and the identity if $j = i+2$. Thus the restriction to F_ρ^2 is clearly independent of the lifting chosen.

Proof: The proof is laid out in 4.3. If $\pi^i \in \mathcal{A}$ restricts to $\pi_{\mathcal{Y}}^i$, then $\pi^i | R^k \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell = \delta_{i,k}$ for all i, k . Applying $H^\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1, \bullet)$ the Lemma follows. ■

Whatever the choice of liftings π^i , these operators commute with the Künneth projectors of $\tilde{X}$ by Lemma 2.1; this justifies the following definitions, which make sense under condition **(A)** of Section 4. We define $\pi^{i,0}$ after the relation $\pi_{\mathcal{Y}}^i = {}^t \pi_{\mathcal{Y}}^{2n-2-i}$.

Notation-Definition. Let $\pi^{i,2}$ denote the orthogonal projection onto $F^2 H^{i+2}(\tilde{X})$, and $\pi^{i,0}$ denote the transpose ${}^t \pi^{2n-2-i,2}$. We define $\pi^{n-1,1} := \pi_X^n - \pi^{n,0} - \pi^{n-2,2}$ and $\pi^{i,1} = 0$ otherwise. Then the $\pi^{i,\epsilon}$ form a complete orthogonal system of projectors, and provide a splitting for the Leray filtration $F_\rho^\bullet$ of $H^*(\tilde{X})$.

The following Proposition is the relative equivalent to Lemma 2.8.

Proposition 5.2 Let $H_\rho = \sum (n-1-i) \pi_\rho^i$. Then H_ρ is (characterised as) the only semisimple (algebraic) skew-symmetric operator supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$ mapping to $H_{\mathcal{Y}}$ under the specialisation map. The complete orthogonal system of projectors $\{\pi_\rho^i \pi_{\tilde{X}}^j\}$ yields a splitting of the Leray filtration $F_\rho^\bullet H^*(\tilde{X})$.

Proof: The proof is elementary. Let us prove existence first. The correspondence $H_{\mathcal{Y}} = \sum (n-1-i) \pi_{\mathcal{Y}}^i$ lifts to a non-unique correspondence $\tilde{H}$ on $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$, which we may assume skew-symmetric. Indeed, ${}^t H_{\mathcal{Y}} = -H_{\mathcal{Y}}$ implies that ${}^t \tilde{H} + \tilde{H} \in \mathcal{J}$ is nilpotent of order 3 by Proposition 4.13. Now, the minimal polynomial of $\tilde{H}$ divides $R(x) = P(x)^3$, where $P(x) = x \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (x^2 - i^2)$. The fact that $R(x)$ is odd implies the following.

Claim. The semisimple part of the Jordan decomposition of $\tilde{H}$ is skew-symmetric.

Proof of the Claim: Write

$$R_i(x) = \prod_{k \in [-n+1, n-1], k \neq i} (x - k)^3.$$

Write

$$1 = \sum_{-n+1}^{n-1} R_i(x) a_i(x),$$

with $a_i(x)$ quadratic polynomials. It is clear that $R_i(-x) a_i(-x) = R_{-i}(x) a_{-i}(x)$. Multiplying the above by x , one has $x = \sum i R_i(x) a_i(x) + \sum R_i(x) a_i(x)(x-i)$, which yields the Jordan decomposition of $\tilde{H}$. Substituting $\tilde{H}$ into x , it follows that the first sum is skew-symmetric and semisimple (and, of course, algebraic).

Let H'_ρ be a semisimple, algebraic, skew-symmetric lifting of $H_\mathcal{Y}$. By Proposition 4.13, H'_ρ agrees with H_ρ on $F^2 H^*(\tilde{X}) = \text{Im } \sum \pi^{i,2}$. Transposing yields

$$(H'_\rho - H_\rho)|_{\text{Im } \sum \pi^{i,0}} = 0.$$

It remains only to check equality on $\text{Im } \pi^{n-1,1}$: now H'_ρ, H_ρ are nilpotent on $\text{Im } \pi^{n-1,1}$, hence 0 by semisimplicity, thus completing the proof. ■

Corollary 5.3 *With notations and assumptions of Proposition 5.2, the relative projectors π_ρ^i are the projections onto the primary components of the operator H_ρ , and $\pi_\rho^i = {}^t \pi_\rho^{2n-2-i}$. Moreover,*

$$\pi_{\tilde{X}}^i = \pi^{i,0} + \pi^{i-1,1} + \pi^{i-2,2} \text{ and } \pi_\rho^i = \pi^{i,0} + \pi^{i,1} + \pi^{i,2},$$

where $\pi^{i-1,1} = 0$ for $i \neq n$.

Proof: The first assertion follows from Proposition 5.2, and π_ρ^i are thus polynomials in H_ρ . The second assertion follows from the fact that H_ρ is semisimple skew-symmetric. The rest follows from the Leray spectral sequence of ρ , condition (A) and Lemma 5.1. ■

Observation-Definition Let $\tilde{u}$ be a correspondence of degree r of $\mathcal{Y}$. Then

$$(19) \quad \tilde{u} = \sum \pi_{\mathcal{Y}}^{i+2r} \tilde{u} \pi_{\mathcal{Y}}^i.$$

This goes along with (and in fact implies) the commutation relation in Lemma 2.1. We define for each $u \in \mathcal{A}$ the following element of $\mathcal{A}$:

$$(20) \quad u_\rho := \sum \pi_\rho^{i+2r} u \pi_\rho^i.$$

It is clear by construction that $u_\rho - u \in \mathcal{J}$. If u is a correspondence of degree r on $\mathcal{Y}$, we will define u_ρ to be u'_ρ for u' a lifting of u in $\mathcal{A}$. Later we will see that this definition is consistent.

Lemma 5.4 *The map $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by $u \mapsto u_\rho$ satisfies $\mathcal{J} = \text{Ker } \psi$; in other words, $u_\rho = v_\rho$ if and only if $u - v$ induces 0 on $\text{Gr}_{F_\rho}^\bullet$. The image $\psi(\mathcal{A})$ in degree r consists of the $w \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi_\rho^{i+2r} w = w \pi_\rho^i$ for all i . The map ψ is a linear projector which induces a section σ of the natural quotient map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$ à la Wedderburn-Malcev, and commutes with transposition. As a result we have a well-defined ring homomorphism*

$$A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \psi(\mathcal{A})$$

defined by $u \mapsto u_\rho$, which agrees with the homomorphism $\psi \circ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \varphi$.

Proof: It is clear that $\mathcal{J} = \text{Ker } \psi$. The image of ψ is easily characterised as the subspace of u such that $\psi(u) = u$ (easily seen to agree with the description $u \pi_\rho^i = \pi_\rho^{i+2r} u$ if u is of degree r), whence $\psi^2 = \psi$. By Corollary 5.3, $\psi({}^t u) = {}^t \psi(u)$. Finally, the terms $v_\rho \circ u_\rho$ and $(v \circ u)_\rho$ differ by an element of $\mathcal{J} \cap \text{Im } \sigma_{\mathcal{Y}} = (0)$, thus proving that ψ is a ring homomorphism. ψ clearly induces a section $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of the quotient map, which gives rise to the map $u \mapsto u_\rho$ with target $A^{n-1+*}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$. ■

6 A relative $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple

We have obtained a set of relative Künneth projectors under the hypothesis $C(\mathcal{Y})$. In this section we assume $B(\mathcal{Y})$ and we construct relative operators ${}^c\Lambda_\rho, \Lambda_\rho$ lifting ${}^c\Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$; this will give rise to a relative $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple ${}^c\Lambda_\rho, L_\rho, H_\rho$ whose action on $H^*(\tilde{X})$ will be exploited later.

Proposition 6.1 *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *For any lifting ${}^c\Lambda'$ of ${}^c\Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$, the correspondence ${}^c\Lambda_\rho = \sum \pi_\rho^{i-2} {}^c\Lambda' \pi_\rho^i$ is symmetric and independent of the lifting ${}^c\Lambda'$ chosen.*
- (2) *The operator ${}^c\Lambda_\rho$ satisfies ${}^c\Lambda_\rho \pi^{i,2} \subset \text{Im } \pi^{i-2,2}$ and ${}^c\Lambda_\rho \pi^{i,0} \subset \text{Im } \pi^{i-2,0}$. In fact ${}^c\Lambda_\rho \pi^{i,0} = \pi^{i-2,0} {}^c\Lambda_\rho$ and ${}^c\Lambda_\rho \pi^{i,2} = \pi^{i-2,2} {}^c\Lambda_\rho$.*

Proof: (1) follows from Lemma 5.4. (2) follows directly from Proposition 4.10 and Lemma 5.4. ■

Lemma 6.2 *$\text{Im } \pi^{n-1,1} = P^n(X) \oplus V(\Delta)(-1)$ (compare Katz [5] Exp. XVIII Th. 5.7) and $\text{Im } \pi^{n,0}$ is the image of $\Delta(H^{n-2}(Y))$ via the inclusion*

$$\iota_* \oplus h^* : H^{n-2}(Y)(-1) \oplus H^{n-2}(Y)(-1) \hookrightarrow H^n(X) \oplus H^{n-2}(\Delta)$$

given by the decomposition of Proposition 3.1. On the other hand,

$$H^n(X) \cap F_\rho^1 H^*(\tilde{X}) = P^n(X) \oplus 0.$$

Proof: The sought-for image of $\pi^{n-1,1}$ coincides with the orthogonal in $F_\rho^1 H^n(\tilde{X})$ of $k_* H^{n-2}(Y) = \text{Im } \pi^{n-2,2}$.

Note the orthogonal decomposition

$$(21) \quad H^n(\tilde{X}) = (P^n(X) \oplus V(\Delta)) \oplus^\perp (\iota_* H^{n-2}(Y) \oplus h^* H^{n-2}(Y)(-1)).$$

The piece $P^n(X) \oplus V(\Delta)$ is clearly within F_ρ^1 and orthogonal to $F_\rho^2 H^n(\tilde{X})$; by a dimension count (see Corollary 4.3) we have $(P^n(X) \oplus V(\Delta)) \oplus^\perp F_\rho^2 H^n(\tilde{X}) = F_\rho^1 H^n(\tilde{X})$; the equality $H^n(X) \cap F_\rho^1 = P^n(X) \oplus 0$ is thus established.

We define $W = H^{n-2}(Y)(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ and view it as a quadratic subspace of $H^n(\tilde{X})$ via $\begin{pmatrix} \iota_* & 0 \\ 0 & h^* \end{pmatrix}$. Write $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$ ($\oplus$ not orthogonal), where $W_1 = \text{Im } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ represents $F_\rho^2 H^n(\tilde{X})$ and $W_2 = \text{Im } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ – note that both W_i are self-orthogonal.

We will show that $\pi^{n,0}$ is given by the projection onto W_2 .

Let $w = (w_1, w_2), w' = (w'_1, w'_2) \in W = W_1 \oplus W_2$. Then $\langle w_i, w'_i \rangle = 0$, and

$$\langle w_1, w'_1 + w'_2 \rangle = \langle w_1 + w_2, w'_2 \rangle,$$

which shows ${}^t\pi^{n,0} = \pi^{n-2,2}$. The Lemma is thus established. ■

Lemma 6.3 *Notations and assumptions as above, let $i \leq n-1$. Then*

$$\text{Im } \pi^{i,0} = (P^i(X) \oplus 0) \oplus (\iota_* \oplus h^*) H^{i-2}(Y).$$

Proof: The first assertion is similar to Lemma 6.2. The piece $P^i(X) \oplus 0 \subset \text{Im } \pi^{i,0}$, since the image of the projector $f^* {}^t p_X^i f_*$ is contained in $\text{Im } \pi^{2n-i-2}$ by the equality $k_* L^{n-i-1} P^i(Y) = L^{n-i} P^i(X) \oplus 0$; the piece $(\iota_* \oplus h^*) \Delta(H^{i-2}(Y)) \subset \text{Im } \pi^{i,0}$ by a similar argument to Lemma 6.2. To prove the second assertion we note the following: if $x \in P^i(X)$ for $i \leq n-2$, then $L^{n-i-1} P^i(X) \oplus 0 \cap k_* H^{2n-4-i}(Y) = 0$, since $j^* : P^i(X) \hookrightarrow P^i(\Delta)$ is injective; here we have used Lemma 4.4. The case $i = n-1$ is obvious. ■

Finally we obtain the desired $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple.

Proposition 6.4 *We have a relative $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple ${}^c \Lambda_\rho, L_\rho, H_\rho$. A relative Lefschetz isomorphism holds:*

$$L_\rho^i : \text{Im } \pi_\rho^{n-1-i} \rightarrow \text{Im } \pi_\rho^{n-1+i}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. The projectors p_ρ^i are algebraic for $i \leq n-1$, and we have symmetric operators p_ρ^{n-1+j} derived from p_ρ^{n-1+j} for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. The map $u \mapsto u_\rho$ yields an isomorphism of rings $\mathbb{Q}\langle L_\rho, \Lambda_\rho \rangle \cong \mathbb{Q}\langle L_Y, \Lambda_Y \rangle$ which preserves transposition.

Proof: The $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -identities $[H_\rho, {}^c \Lambda_\rho] = 2 {}^c \Lambda_\rho$, $[H_\rho, L_\rho] = -2L_\rho$ and $[{}^c \Lambda_\rho, L_\rho] = H_\rho$ and the isomorphism between $\mathbb{Q}\langle L_\rho, \Lambda_\rho \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Q}\langle L_Y, \Lambda_Y \rangle$ follow from Lemma 5.4 and Corollary 4.18. The operators $\frac{1}{m^i} L_{\tilde{X}}^i, L^i$ and L_ρ^i induce the same map on $\text{Gr}_{F_\rho}^\bullet$ by Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.4. The ‘relative Lefschetz isomorphism’ can be checked by passing to Gr_{F_ρ} , or simply by using the identities $(\Lambda_\rho^i L_\rho^i - 1) \pi_\rho^{n-1-i} = 0$ for $i < n-1$. ■

We now can view the Lefschetz theory of $\mathcal{Y}$ within $H^*(\tilde{X})$.

Proposition 6.5 *The relative primitive projectors p_ρ^i for $i \leq n-2$ are described as follows. $p_\rho^i | H^i(\tilde{X}) = f_* p_X^i f^*$, $p_\rho^i H^{i+1}(\tilde{X}) = 0$ and $\text{Im } p_\rho^{i+2} H^{i+2}(\tilde{X}) = k_* P^i(Y) = LP^i(X) \oplus P^{i-2}(\Delta) \cap F_\rho^2$.*

Proof: By Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 4.7, $P^i(X) \oplus 0 \subset \text{Im } \pi^{i,0}$ is isomorphic to $H^0(\mathcal{P}^i) \subset H^0(\mathcal{R}^i)$ via the obvious map. Since $\mathcal{P}^i$ is constant, the image of p_ρ^i in degree $i+1$ is zero; the last assertion follows from Corollary 4.7. ■

Proposition 6.6 *The projector p_ρ^{n-1} satisfies the following properties:*

1. $\pi_\rho^{n-1} p_\rho^{n-1} = p_\rho^{n-1}$ and $p_\rho^{n-1} = {}^t p_\rho^{n-1}$;
2. $\pi^{n-1,1} p_\rho^{n-1} = \pi^{n-1,1}$, i.e. the orthogonal projection onto

$$P^n(X) \oplus V(\Delta) \subset P^n(\tilde{X});$$

3. $\pi^{n-1,2} p_\rho^{n-1}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $LP^{n-1}(X) \oplus 0$, and $\pi^{n-1,0} p_\rho^{n-1}$ is the projection onto $P^{n-1}(X) \oplus 0$.

In all, the projector p_ρ^{n-1} can be expressed as

$$(22) \quad p_\rho^{n-1} = f^* p_X^{n-1} f_* + \pi^{n-1,1} + f^* {}^t p_X^{n-1} f_*,$$

$$\text{and } f_* p_\rho^{n-1} f^* = p_X^{n-1} + p_X^n + {}^t p_X^{n-1}.$$

Proof of Proposition 6.6:

1. is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 6.4.
2. Since $R^{n-3}\rho_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is constant, one has $H^1(R^{n-1}\rho_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H^1(\mathcal{P}_\rho^{n-1})$; the rest follows from Lemma 6.2.
3. The computation $H^2(\mathcal{P}_\rho^{n-1}) = LP^{n-1}(X) \oplus 0$ follows from Corollaries 4.5, 4.7. Thus $\text{Im } \pi^{n-1,2}p_\rho^{n-1} = LP^{n-1}(X) \oplus 0$. Using the Poincaré duality pairing yields $\text{Im } \pi^{n-1,0}p_\rho^{n-1} = P^{n-1}(X) \oplus 0$, by Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 4.5. ■

7 The Main Theorem

This section is devoted to proving the following result.

Main Theorem. Let X be a smooth, projective variety of dimension n . Assume the Lefschetz standard conjecture for the generic fibre $\mathcal{Y}/k(t)$ of a Lefschetz pencil satisfying **(A)**. Then $\Lambda - p^{n+1}$ is algebraic.

We will prove this result in a series of steps, obtaining the algebraicity of the Künneth projectors π_X^i for $i \neq n-1, n, n+1$ in the course of our proof.

7.1 The algebraicity of some projectors

We start by proving the following.

Proposition 7.1 *$B(\mathcal{Y})$, with $\mathcal{Y}$ as above, implies the algebraicity of the Künneth projectors π_X^i for $i \leq n-2$ (hence that of π_X^i for $i \geq n+2$) and that of the primitive projectors $p^0, \dots, p^{n-2}$.*

A couple of lemmas will be required to establish this Proposition.

Lemma 7.2 *The following statements hold.*

- (i) *The identity $\iota_* H^{i-2}(Y) = LH^{i-2}(X)$ holds for all $0 \leq i \leq 2n$, and $\iota_* : H^{i-2}(Y) \rightarrow H^i(X)$ is injective for $i \leq n$. For all $i \leq n$,*

$$\text{Im}(\pi_X^i - p_X^i) = LH^{i-2}(X).$$

- (ii) *For all $i > n$, $\text{Im}(\pi_X^i - {}^t p_X^{2n-i}) = L^{i-n+1}H^{2n-i-2}(X)$.*
- (iii) *Suppose $B(Y)$ holds for Y a smooth hyperplane section of X . Then for $0 \leq i \leq n$,*

$$\pi_X^i - p_X^i = \iota_* \Lambda_Y \pi_Y^i \iota^*$$

is algebraic. Thus the transposed operators $\pi^{2n-i} - L^{n-i}p^{2n-i}$ are algebraic for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

- (iv) *The hypothesis $B(\mathcal{Y})$ of the Main Theorem implies $B(Y)$ for a suitable hyperplane section.*

Proof: Statement (iv) follows by Proposition 2.5(2) and specialisation. The rest is straightforward. ■

We consider a suitable Lefschetz pencil for X , and prove the algebraicity of π_X^i for $i \leq n-2$.

It suffices to prove that the operators π_X^i are algebraic for $i = 0, \dots, n-2$, since $\pi^{2n-i} = {}^t\pi^i$ (Kleiman [18] showed already that π^0, π^1 are algebraic in general).

Lemma 7.3 *The projectors $\pi^{i-2,2}$ are algebraic for $i \leq n$, and so are $\pi^{i-2,0}$.*

Proof of Lemma 7.3:

- We know that $k_* = \iota_* \oplus -h^*$. Let $i \leq n$. Let us prove that

$$\pi^{i-2,2} = \pi^{i-2,2} f^*(\pi_X^i - p_X^i) f_* \pi^{i-2,2}.$$

Indeed, by Lemma 7.2, the image of $k_*(y) = \iota_*(y) \oplus -h^*(y)$ by $(\pi_X^i - p_X^i) \pi^{i-2,2}$ is $\iota_*(y) \oplus 0$ if $y \in H^{i-2}(Y)$ and 0 otherwise. Applying $\pi^{i-2,2}$ to $\iota_*(y) \oplus 0$ yields $\iota_*(y) \oplus -h^*(y)$ by Lemma 7.2(i).

- Rewriting the previous step we get

$$\pi^{i-2,2} = \pi_\rho^{i-2} f^*(\pi_X^i - p_X^i) f_* \pi_\rho^{i-2}.$$

- By the above, $\pi^{i-2,2}$ is algebraic for $i \leq n-2$, and the operator $\pi_\rho^{i-2} - \pi^{i-2,2} = \pi^{i-2,0}$ is algebraic for $i \leq n-2$. The Lemma is thus settled. ■

Lemma 7.4 *The projectors π_X^i are algebraic for $i \neq n-1, n, n+1$.*

Proof: The proof is immediate, since for $i \leq n-2$ the operator $\pi_X^i = \pi_\rho^{i,0} + \pi^{i-2,2}$ is algebraic by Lemma 7.3. ■

Proof of Proposition 7.1: It remains only to check that $p_X^i = \pi_X^i - (\pi_X^i - p_X^i)$ is algebraic for $i \leq n-2$; this holds by Lemma 7.2(iii). Proposition 7.1 is thus established. ■

7.2 Proof of the Main Theorem

We finally prove the Main Theorem.

Assume that $B(\mathcal{Y})$ holds for $\mathcal{Y}$ the generic fibre of a Lefschetz fibration ρ of X satisfying condition (A).

By Lemma 2.6, we have the following identity:

$$(23) \quad \iota^*(\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1}) - \Lambda_Y \iota^* = \sum_{j=n+2}^{2n-2} \iota^* L^{j-n-1} p_X^j.$$

Aside (not necessary): Assuming $B(Y)$, the l.h.s. of is algebraic if and only if $\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1}$ is. This follows from the identity ${}^t[\iota^*(\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1})]\iota^*(\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1}) = \Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1}$.

The next step is to prove that the r.h.s. of (23) is algebraic. This will follow from the next Lemma ($j = 2n-i$).

Lemma 7.5 Assume $B(\mathcal{Y})$. For $0 \leq i \leq n-2$, the operator

$$L^{n-i-1}p_X^{2n-i} = \Lambda_X {}^t p_X^i = f_* \Lambda_\rho f^* {}^t p_X^i$$

is algebraic.

Proof of Lemma 7.5: Let $i \leq n-2$; then p_X^i is algebraic by Proposition 7.1. Consider the subspace $W = (L^{n-1-i}P^i(\tilde{X}) \oplus L^{n-1-i}P^{i-2}(\Delta)) \cap F_\rho^2$, which agrees with the image of

$$k_* = \iota_* \oplus (-h^*) : L^{n-2-i}P^i(Y) \rightarrow L^{n-1-i}P^i(X) \oplus L^{n-2-i}P^i(\Delta).$$

The first component is an isomorphism, and the second is injective, being bijective if $i < n-2$. On applying L , which coincides with L_ρ on F_ρ^2 , we have an isomorphism

$$L : W \xrightarrow{\sim} L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0 \subset F_\rho^2;$$

the piece $L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0 = L_{\tilde{X}}^{n-i}P^i(\tilde{X})$ equals $k_* L_Y^{n-1-i}P^i(Y)$ – see Corollary 4.5. L is thus an isomorphism between W' and $L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0$ (by Corollary 4.5, $m \cdot L$ and $L_{\tilde{X}}$ agree on F_ρ^2). The identity

$$L_Y \Lambda_Y = 1_Y - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_Y^i$$

([18] p. 372) translates by Proposition 6.4 into

$$L_\rho \Lambda_\rho = 1_{\tilde{X}} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_\rho^i,$$

thus showing that Λ_ρ defines the inverse isomorphism to $L : W \rightarrow L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0$. Taking the X -component yields the inverse

$$L^{n-i}P^i(X) \oplus 0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow L^{n-1-i}P^i(X)$$

of L , which coincides with $\Lambda_X|L^{n-i}P^i(X)$ – here we have used that L agrees with L_ρ on F_ρ^2 , and that p_ρ^i acts as 0 on $H^j(\tilde{X})$ for $j \geq n+2$. We have thus proven that $\Lambda_X {}^t p_X^{n-i} = L^{n-1-i}p_X^{n+i} = f_* \Lambda_\rho f^* {}^t p_X^{n-i}$ is algebraic by Propositions 6.4 and 7.1. ■

Lemma 7.6 Assuming the hypotheses of the Main Theorem, the operator

$$\Lambda_X \pi_X^i = \pi_X^{i-2} \Lambda_X = \Lambda_X (\pi_X^i - p_X^i)$$

is algebraic for $i \leq n$. The operator $(\pi_X^{n-1} - p_X^{n-1})\Lambda_X = (\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1})\pi_X^{n+1}$ is algebraic.

Proof: The identities are clear; let us prove algebraicity of the above operators. By Lemmas 2.6 and 7.2(iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_X (\pi_X^i - p_X^i) &= \Lambda_X \iota_* \Lambda_Y \pi_Y^i \iota^* = \iota_* \Lambda_Y^2 \pi_Y^i \iota^* + \left(p_X^{n+1} + \sum_{j=n+2}^{2n-2} p_X^j L^{j-n+1} \right) \iota_* \Lambda_Y \pi_Y^i \iota^* = \\ &= \iota_* \Lambda_Y^2 \pi_Y^i \iota^* + \left(\sum_{j=n+2}^{2n-2} p_X^j L^{j-n+1} \right) \iota_* \Lambda_Y \pi_Y^i \iota^*, \end{aligned}$$

which is algebraic for $i \leq n+1$ by Lemma 7.5, thereby establishing the Lemma. ■

Proof of Main Theorem: Under the hypotheses of this Section, the operator $\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1}$ is algebraic.

Indeed, we have proven in Lemma 7.6 that $\pi_X^{n-2}\Lambda_X = \Lambda_X\pi_X^n$ and $\pi_X^{n-3}\Lambda_X = \Lambda_X\pi_X^{n-1}$ are algebraic, as well as the algebraicity of $(\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1})\pi_X^{n+1}$. It now remains to establish the algebraicity of $\Lambda_X \sum_{i=n+2}^{2n} \pi_X^i$. Again, Lemma 7.6 shows that, for $r \geq 2$, the operator ${}^t(\Lambda_X \pi_X^{n+r}) = \pi_X^{n-r}\Lambda_X$ is algebraic. On the other hand, $(\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1})\pi_X^{n+1} = \Lambda_X(\pi_X^{n+1} - {}^t p_X^{n-1})$. Altogether this shows that the operator

$$\Lambda_X - p_X^{n+1} = \Lambda_X \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \pi_X^i + (\pi_X^{n+1} - {}^t p_X^{n-1}) + \sum_{k=n+2}^{2n} \pi_X^i \right)$$

is algebraic. The Main Theorem is thus established. ■

7.3 Final comments

On the field of definition of the correspondences ${}^c\Lambda, \Lambda, \pi^i$ we would like to say the following. The correspondence L is k -defined. Now assume that k is perfect: the operator H and all Künneth projectors are Galois invariants; if they are algebraic, an elementary argument furnishes k -defined algebraic representatives for H, π^i . The operator ${}^c\Lambda$ is uniquely determined by its $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -partners H, L (see e.g. [2] 5.2.2), hence Galois invariant, and again is represented by a k -defined algebraic class if it is algebraic. If k is arbitrary, one needs to descend from a purely inseparable finite extension k' to k ; which is standard, since the natural map $X \times_k k' \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism. Thus our form of the conjectures is in fact equivalent to that of [13] [18], where it was assumed that $k = \bar{k}$. This formulation was required in order to obtain algebraic cycles supported on $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$.

Restatement of the Main Theorem: In the language of Proposition 2.5, our Main Theorem shows precisely that θ^i is induced by an algebraic cycle (to wit $(\Lambda - p^{n+1})^{n-i}$) for $i \leq n-2$. By the proof of [18] Lemma 2.4, one derives that π_X^i is algebraic for $i \neq n-1, n, n+1$.

On the results needed in the Proof: The path we have travelled in order to settle our Main Theorem is not the shortest possible. Lemma 7.5 does not need Λ_ρ or π_ρ^i , but merely arbitrary liftings of Λ_Y, π_Y^i to $\tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$, since the proof of Lemma 7.5 requires only working on F_ρ^2 . Lemma 7.6 relies solely on Lemma 7.5 and material from Section 2, and the Main Theorem rests on Lemma 7.6. The use of Lemma 7.6 allows for a direct proof of the algebraicity of $\Lambda - p^{n+1}$ without Proposition 7.1, but then one should use $\theta^0, \dots, \theta^{n-2}$ as in the proof of [18] Lemma 2.4, to derive that π_X^i is algebraic for $i \neq n-1, n, n+1$.

On the support of π_X^i : We have proven that $\pi_X^{i-2,2}$ is algebraic for $i \leq n$. However, our proof does not necessarily imply that $\pi_X^{i-2,2}$ is supported on $D = \tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$. In fact, it follows from Remark 4.12 and the proof of Proposition 4.13 that $\pi_X^{i-2,2}$ is not supported on D unless it is 0 (*i.e.* when $H^{i-2}(X) = 0$).

7.4 The operator p_X^{n+1}

This section is a complement to the Main Theorem. The operator p_X^{n+1} (and so $\iota^* p_X^{n+1}$) is of central importance in the Lefschetz theory of X .

Lemma 7.7 *Assume $B(\mathcal{Y})$ for $\mathcal{Y}$ the general fibre of a Lefschetz pencil of X satisfying (A). The algebraicity of p_X^{n-1} implies that of p_X^n and the conjecture $C(X)$.*

The lemma follows from Proposition 6.6. ■

Lemma 7.8 *Let X be a projective smooth, n -dimensional variety. The operator $\iota^* p^{n+1}$ is algebraic if p^{n+1} is.*

Proof: The result stems from the following identity:

$$(24) \quad {}^t(\iota^* p^{n+1}) \iota^* p^{n+1} = p^{n+1} L p^{n+1} = p^{n+1}. \blacksquare$$

Enclosed in p^{n+1} is information about the space of vanishing cycles, and also p^{n-1} . For instance, as we shall see below, the algebraicity of p^{n+1} allows us to speak of the **motive of vanishing cycles**.

Proposition 7.9 *With the above notations, the following statements hold.*

1. *The algebraicity of p_X^{n+1} implies that of p_X^{n-1} .*
2. *Let $V(Y)$ be the space of vanishing cycles of a smooth hyperplane section Y , and $e_{V(Y)}$ be the orthogonal projection $H^*(Y) \twoheadrightarrow V(Y) \hookrightarrow H^*(Y)$. Then*

$$p_Y^{n-1} - e_{V(Y)} = \iota^* p^{n+1} \iota_*$$

3. *If $B(Y)$ holds and p^{n+1} is algebraic, then so is $e_{V(Y)}$.*

■

Proposition 7.10 *The operator p^{n+1} cannot be obtained as $f_* u f^*$, with u an algebraic cycle supported on $D = \tilde{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \tilde{X}$.*

Proof: It follows from Proposition 6.6 that $LP^{n-1}(X) \oplus 0 \subset F_\rho^2$ and

$$P^{n-1}(X) \oplus H^{n-3}(\Delta) \cap F_\rho^1 = 0;$$

since every correspondence supported on D preserves the Leray filtration by Proposition 4.10, the assertion is clear. ■

References

- [1] Y. André, *Pour une théorie inconditionnelle des motifs*, Publ. Math. IHES 83 (1996), 5-49.
- [2] Y. André, *Une introduction aux motifs*, Soc. Math. France, Paris 2004.
- [3] M. Artin, *Grothendieck Topologies*, Harvard Univ. Seminar, 1962.

- [4] A.J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*. Publ. Math. IHES (1996)
- [5] P. Deligne, N. Katz (eds.), *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique 7*, Vol. II. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 340. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1973.
- [6] P. Deligne, *La Conjecture de Weil I*, Publ. Math. IHES 43 (1974), 273-307.
- [7] P. Deligne, *La Conjecture de Weil II*, Publ. Math. IHES 52(1980), 313-428.
- [8] P. Deligne, J.S. Milne, A. Ogus, K.Y. Shih, *Hodge cycles, motives and Shimura varieties*, LNM 900. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1982.
- [9] E. Freitag, R. Kiehl, *Etale cohomology and the Weil conjecture*, Ergeb. der Math. Wiss., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1988.
- [10] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1984.
- [11] A. Grothendieck *et al.*, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique 4*, LNM 269, 270,305. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1972, 1973.
- [12] A. Grothendieck *et. al.*, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique 5, 1965-66*. LNM 589. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1977.
- [13] A. Grothendieck, *Standard conjectures on Algebraic Cycles*. In: Bombay Colloquium, Oxford, 1969.
- [14] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM , Springer-Verlag, New York 1978.
- [15] U. Jannsen, *Motives, numerical equivalence, and semisimplicity*. Inv. Math. 107 (1992) 447-452.
- [16] U. Jannsen, S. Kleiman, J. P. Serre (eds.) *Motives*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 55 (Seattle, WA 1991), Part 1. AMS, Providence, RI 1995.
- [17] N.M. Katz, W. Messing, *Some consequences of the Riemann Hypothesis for varieties over finite fields*. Inv. Math. 23 (1974), 73-77.
- [18] S. Kleiman, *Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures*. In: Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas. A.Grothendieck, N. H. Kuiper (eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam 1970.
- [19] S. Kleiman, *The Standard Conjectures*. In [16] 3-20.
- [20] S. Kleiman, *Motives*. In: *Algebraic Geometry, Oslo 1970*, F. Oort (ed.), Walters-Noordhof, Groningen 1972, pp. 53-82.
- [21] E. Looijenga, *Intersection Cohomology*. In: *Complex Algebraic Geometry*, J. Kollár, (ed.), AMS 1997.
- [22] J. Roberts, *Chow's moving lemma*. In: *Algebraic Geometry, Oslo 1970*. F. Oort, ed. Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1972.
- [23] A.J. Scholl, *Classical Motives*. In: [16] pp. 163-187.

Email address: jjramon@maths.ucd.ie